1	LECLAIRRYAN			
2	Robert S. McWhorter (CA 226186)  Kevin T. Collins (CA 185427)			
3	980 9th Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814			
4	Telephone: 916.449.9690			
	Facsimile: 916.449.9694 robert.mcwhorter@leclairryan.com			
5	kevin.collins@leclairryan.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant			
7	JACK IN THE BOX INC., a Delaware corporation, formerly known as Foo	odmaker Inc		
8	•	dillakoi, ilio.		
9	MOHAJERIAN LAW CORP. Al Mohajerian (CA 182013)			
10	1925 Century Park East, Suite 350			
11	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310.556.3800			
	Facsimile: 310.556.3817			
12	Email: al@mohajerian.com			
13	Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs, DEEPAK MEHTA, an individual; KIRAN MEHTA,			
14	an individual; MEHTA ENTERPRISES, INC.,			
15	a suspended California corporation; DEEPAK ENTERPRISES INC., a California corporation			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17				
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19		G M	5.12 04444 EID	
20	JACK IN THE BOX INC., a Delaware corporation, formerly known as Foodmaker,	Case No:	5:13-cv-04444-EJD	
21	Inc.,		TION AND ORDER ING CASE MANAGEMENT	
l	Plaintiff,	CONFERE		
22	vs.	Judge:	Hon. Edward J. Davila	
23	DEEPAK MEHTA, an individual; KIRAN	J		
24	MEHTA, an individual; MEHTA			
25	ENTERPRISES, INC., a suspended California corporation; DEEPAK ENTERPRISES INC.,	Date Action Filed: September 25, 2013 Trial Date: None Set		
26	a California corporation,	2222 - 2237 1		
27	Defendants.			
28	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.			
- 1	I			

STIPULATION AND ORDER REQUESTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Case No. 5:13-cv-04444-EJD

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

## STIPULATION AND ORDER REQUESTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Defendants and Counter-Plaintiff's Deepak Mehta, Kiran Mehta, Mehta Enterprises, Inc., and Deepak Enterprises, Inc. (collectively "Defendants" or the "Mehtas"), and Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Jack in the Box Inc. ("JIB") (collectively, the "Parties"), stipulate as follows:

- 1. On April 23, 2015 JIB filed a Motion For Summary Judgment And/Or Partial Summary Judgment (the "Summary Judgment Motion"), which was heard by this Court on May 28, 2015. (Docket Nos. 205, 248).
- 2. This Court has not yet issued a ruling on the Summary Judgment Motion, which – if granted – would resolve all or part of the Parties' claims in this case. If not granted, the Parties would need to prepare for trial. The uncertainty surrounding this Court's ruling on the Summary Judgment Motion places the underlying restaurants in an indeterminate status and adversely impacts both Parties because the Parties do not have an adjudication of their rights, if any, under the Franchise and Lease Agreements. Moreover, the nature, scope and direction of any settlement discussions between the Parties will be impacted significantly by this Court's ruling. As a result, the Parties placed their settlement discussions (as well as their completion of discovery) on hold pending a ruling on the Summary Judgment Motion.
- 3. To allow the Parties to make the necessary preparations going forward with respect to the underlying restaurants, settlement, and other issues, the Parties request that this Court provide some guidance as to when it will rule on the Summary Judgment Motion. Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12, the Parties request that this Court schedule a Case Management Conference so that the Parties can discuss the status of this case. Because counsel for both parties practice outside of the Northern District of California, the Parties request that they be permitted to appear at the Case Management Conference by telephone.

- 1 -

[				
1	STIPULATED AND AGREED TO:			
2	Dated: March 9, 2016 MOHAJERIAN A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION			
3	By:/s/ Al Mohajerian			
5	Al Mohajerian Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff Deepak Mehta, Kiran Mehta, Mehta Enterprises, Inc., and			
6	Deepak Enterprises, Inc.			
7	Dated: March 9, 2016 LECLAIRRYAN LLP			
8	By: <u>/s/ Robert S. McWhorter</u> Robert S. McWhorter  Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant JACK IN THE BOX INC., a Delaware corporation, formerly known as Foodmaker, Inc.			
11				
12 13				
14	<u>ORDER</u>			
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT this Court shall			
16	conduct a Case Management Conference on June 23, 2016, at 10:00 a.m The Parties shall be			
17	permitted to appear at the Case Management Conference by telephone.			
18 19	Dated: May 17, 2016  EQQ QUA  U.S. District Court Judge			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	-2-			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER REQUESTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE  Case No. 5:13-cv-04444-EJD			